

EXHIBIT 27

K33LSADH

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 SADIS & GOLDBERG, LLP,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

14 Civ. 913 (LTS) (OTW)

6 SUMANTA BANERJEE,

7 Defendant.

Hearing

8 -----x
9 New York, N.Y.
March 3, 2020
10:00 a.m.

10 Before:

11 HON. ONA T. WANG,

12 U.S. Magistrate Judge

13
14 APPEARANCES

15 SADIS & GOLDBERG, LLP

Attorney for Plaintiff

16 BY: BEN HUTMAN

17 Pro-se Attorney for Defendant

18 BY: SUMANTA BANERJEE

19 Also Present:

Desi Ilieva, Paralegal, Sadis & Goldberg

20 Akshita Banerjee, Defendant's wife

K33LSADH

Banerjee - Direct

1 Q. And the \$430,000, your wife would have had no interest in
2 automatically because you were the person that earned it by
3 virtue of your management of the common fund, not as a matter
4 of your interest in the entity; is that right?

5 A. I earned it. It's a capital gain that I earned. And it
6 was paid out over the years that I earned it. And it was paid
7 out in 2014, which is when I endorsed the check over to her.

8 Q. I just wanted to clarify.

9 The reason you needed to endorse the check was because
10 the check was made out only to you and not to her?

11 A. Correct.

12 THE COURT: Can I pause for a second?

13 Mr. Hutman, how much longer do you have with Mr.
14 Banerjee?

15 MR. HUTMAN: I can't say we've gotten very far,
16 unfortunately. Maybe an hour and a half.

17 THE COURT: All right. We're going to take a break
18 after I'm done talking right now.

19 I want you to take a look -- remember, there's no jury
20 here, okay? I get what you're trying to do. Let's assume that
21 you're going to continue to get what you would characterize as
22 self-serving testimony from Mr. Banerjee. Understand that I
23 can connect the dots. So why don't you take a break and think
24 about focusing on authenticating documents that you need
25 authenticated, try to minimize any amount of argument back and